

**New Braunfels Outer Loop Task Force
Meeting #9 (December 21, 2009): Comment Cards Tally**



A total of 7 Comment Cards were received.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
1.The objectives of this meeting were clearly articulated.		3	1		
2.The stated objectives for this meeting were met.		3	1		
3.I felt that my time was valued and utilized well.		2	1	1	
4.The speakers/presenters were well prepared and informative.		4	1		
5.I believe the topics were covered adequately in the time available.		2	1	1	

Comments:

- Presentations for evening are beneficial. 50 acres is fine but some property has been in families for 100+ years and may be smaller. Now you are cutting through that existing property making it even smaller.
- One task force member stated that at one time several members voted “no build” concerning the outer loop. Is this possible and if yes, will the city officials consider this option? Before this should be officially adopted, fix FM 306 and the Railroad crossings that are a major problem that the loop will not fix.
- A concern for time, not enough, yet it will affect our lives from here on, and families will suffer. Get county officials to the meetings to answer county questions. The meetings can’t seem to stretch to answer questions that are pertinent. So we only have 30 acres left from a 130 year ownership, you feel it isn’t as important as those of 50 acres (+). You’re catering to the new comers, new subdivisions. What’s wrong with existing roads! Use them.
- Section B across. River road should not be considered as a variable option route for the outer loop. All of section B should be done away with and use existing roads. Section B would be an extreme disaster for Comal County.
- This project is moving full ahead without any consideration of ECO-SYSTEM impact. It is stated that further compliance will be placed on developers, local agency, or TxDOT. Compliance refers to an EPA 1973 law or TEQCB rules. I recommend that New Braunfels use the highest available standards available globally in the 21st Century. Not the lowest standards they can get away with. Developers have no incentive to meet the highest standards. There is no local agency. Comal County does not even have an environmental engineer. TxDOT wants to build roads to justify there existence as dictated from Austin. May the SUNSET on TxDOT. May New Braunfels move out of the 19th Century and into the 21st Century and meet the highest available environmental standards.
- Good engineering explanation on how we got to this point. However, the truth is section C and B should not be part of the project. If necessary A, F, D, and E may have merit. Comal County does not need sections B or C. Making a loop is not a requirement for success. We have existing roads. The loop is designed for through traffic not to ease the traffic burden of residents.

- Section. Why is the outer loop not going between the landfill and the wildlife on Kohlenberg. The landfill entrance is not on Kohlenberg. The loop will certainly benefit the landfill and wildlife if it is on Kohlenberg. I am sure Waste Management would be willing to donate land from the wildlife ranch. That would also show Waste Management being a good neighbor to the community. The wildlife ranch is being established. We were told current roads would be used and not cut property in half (stock tanks, barns, prime farmlands, etc.). I would request an official be at the next meeting to explain the rules and regulations of Guadalupe County "Subdivision Rules". Kevin was very informative. He was much easier to understand. Thanks.