

**New Braunfels Outer Loop Task Force  
Meeting #12 (March 6, 2010): Meeting Evaluation Forms Tally**



	Agree	Disagree	No Comment
<b>A total of 17 Meeting Evaluation Forms were received.</b>			
1. The objectives for this meeting were clearly articulated.	16		
2. The stated objectives for this meeting were met.	16		
3. I felt that my time was valued and utilized well.	15	1	
4. The handouts/presentations were appropriate and helpful.	15		1
5. The information from speakers/presenters was clear and informative.	13		3
6. The facilitator was well prepared and fair to all viewpoints.	16		
7. The facilitator was helpful in framing the issues and process of the meeting.	16		
8. I believe the topics were covered adequately in the time available.	16		
9. There was sufficient time for public comment.	16		
10. Task Force communications (i.e., materials, calls, logistics and other information) are being handled effectively.	16		
11. The meetings are convenient and conducive to our work (i.e., meeting time, meeting length, location, audio visual, acoustics, overall meeting space).	15		1
12. The overall process that the Task Force is using is effective in bringing diverse views together to come up with recommendations for inclusion into the Draft Study Report.	15		1

**Comments regarding questions (above):**

Evaluation Form 9:

Question 5: N/A

Question 9: N/A

Evaluation Form 12:

Question 4: N/A

Question 5: N/A

Evaluation Form 17:

Question 5: N/A

**Comments:**

- In hindsight, there probably should have been a meeting to refine feedback before ranking. Redundancies may dilute effectiveness of report.

We recommend in Pubic Involvement 15.d – no public comments, except via phone or email. We should have done the same.

- Never thought we would get through it all.
- This meeting went well.

Will be looking for report and other promised material.

- I do not know if there will ever be a loop built that will not be a decision this panel makes. If the City Council and City Officials could approve the 2005 loop with only a generic study and little or

no criteria applied and as one member of that study said “impact to homes was not even a criteria”. That study was a wish list at best. In 2007 the people came to these meetings and shared what was most important to them and displacements were the number 1 criteria. In the number of homes saved by the TX Dot study which was over 100+ homes not counting the other criteria that people listed as important to them (it far outweighs any inaccuracies or inconsistencies) that some of the members of the task force complain about. These are economically challenging times and if by reducing the facility type to avoid impact to all criteria (example: by reducing facility size we can reduce the amount of farm land taken and save 7 or 8 more homes) please consider doing so. You have an obligation to the people you represent and people will know you tried.

I greatly appreciate the opportunity given by you to share the screening processes and tools applied to this study because when I tried to get information on the old study I was not successful. I do however resent the fact that some members of the task force came into this study under the pretense of wanting to help in identifying issues with the study and making recommendations on how to solve those issues when all they have really wanted was to stop the loop. I have been approached by them time and time again during this study.

I live in Guadalupe County and I am seeing exciting things happening with Caterpillar coming to our area. There is also an area of land off IH10 and 46 designated as an industrial park. There are 2 schools currently under construction in our vicinity all which will bring more traffic and commercial trucks into New Braunfels. Please consider how this will affect our safety and that of our future generation.

- Best meeting we’ve had. Everyone was focused and worked toward closure on each issue. Some issues we were not able to achieve consensus on, but surprisingly few. That all points were not approved unanimously helps validate the work of the Task Force members.
- I agreed to the recommendations but this does not mean that I agree with the study in the whole. There were many inaccuracies and inconsistencies in the study that were not addressed in the recommendations. Minutes of meetings some times did not correctly reflect what was heard on the audio. There were questions asked that were postponed to the next meeting but these questions were never addressed or answered. So on and so on.
- Today went much better than I expected.