



First Round Public Meetings  
June 25, 2007/June 27, 2007

# Comment Card

**QUESTION ONE:** Thank you for attending tonight's public meeting. In order to ensure that future events make the most out of your time commitment, please rate the items listed below using the scale provided.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not Applicable
1. The objectives for the public meeting were clearly articulated.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. The stated objectives for this public meeting were met.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. I felt like my time was valued and utilized well.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. The speakers/presenters were well prepared and informative.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**QUESTION TWO:** Is there anything that you just heard in the study overview presentation that concerns you? Why?  
*The length of time required for the study and final alignment determination is too long. It leaves property owners in the position of not being able to sell land at fair market value or buy additional land until these decisions are made.*

**QUESTION THREE:** What transportation issues are you particularly concerned about in Guadalupe and Comal Counties? What would you like to see addressed as part of this study?  
*Widening of Hwy 725 and 1044. I would also like to encourage the utilization of existing roadway right of way and follow existing utility line (electric high tension lines) as much as possible.*

**QUESTION FOUR:** Do you know of any new land development projects planned within the study area identified for the proposed outer loop? If so, please describe its location by indicating the closest landmark or cross street.  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**QUESTION FIVE:** Looking at the constraints map at the constraints station, do you know of any additional constraints the study team should bear in mind when moving forward with this study? If so, please mark them on the map at the constraints station and tell us more about them.  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Name: GARY W. SCHLATHER Organization: \_\_\_\_\_ Phone: 830-656-1061



# First Round Public Meetings June 25, 2007/June 27, 2007

**QUESTION SIX:** On a scale of 1-16, please rank the following constraints with one indicating the most important constraint and sixteen indicating the least important constraint.

Constraint	Rank
Environmental Justice <i>(Impacts to low income or minority communities)</i>	16
Noise Impacts	7
Hazardous Materials	12
Natural Vegetation / Wildlife Habitat	14
Park & Recreational Facilities	13
Wetlands / Floodplain	15
Archeological / Historical	5
Residential Displacement	4

Constraint	Rank
Commercial Displacement	9
Farmlands / Ranchlands	1
Faith-based Organizations	10
Civic Organizations	11
Major Utility Features	2
Schools	8
Cemeteries	3
Others?	6

**QUESTION SEVEN:** Given what you heard during the public meeting about facility type, which of the facility types outlined in the table below would work best for the proposed New Braunfels Outer Loop? Please indicate your response by drawing a circle around your selection. Use the space below the table to tell us why you think this option will work best.

	Access to Mainlanes				Design Aspects				
	Access to Major	Access to Minor	Driveway Access	Grade Separation at Major Crossroads	Median		Frontage Roads	Signalization	Design Speed (mph)
					Type	Openings			
<b>Urban Arterials</b>									
Expressway 1	✓			✓	CTB		✓		60
Expressway 2	✓			✓	CTB				60
<b>Parkway</b>	✓	✓		✓	BC w/L				50-55
Principal Arterial	✓	✓	Right turn	✓	BC w/L	✓			45-50
Minor Arterial	✓	✓	✓		Flush	Continuous		✓	40-45

- East of IH 35
- West of IH 35
- Does not apply

QUESTION EIGHT: Where do you live?

CTB - Concrete Traffic Barrier  
BC w/L - Barrier Curb with Landscaping

A parkway has the same safety features as expressway 1 and 2 with respect to controlling direction of traffic and better accessibility than principal or minor arterials. Most importantly a parkway requires substantially less right of way than expressway 1 and 2 making it much less expensive to build. The parkway has sufficient capacity given that the major feeders - Hwy 46, 125 and 1044 will never become expressway. Also I 35 and the TTC will take much of the future traffic away from New Braunfels if traveling to Austin or San Antonio.